(25)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P(S) No.6508 of 2007

PETITIONER Gulab Das Kathley, s/o Shri Rajni Kathley, aged about 50 years, Asstt. Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Bhaloo Khondara, Block Lormi, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G).

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Tribal & Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G)
2. District Education Officer, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G)
3. Chandraman Dahire, aged about 48 years, Asst. Teacher, Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Godkhamhi, Block Lormi, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G)
4. Smt. Sushila Dhritlahare, aged about 48 years, Asst. Teacher, Govt. Primary school, Jhaphal, Now High School, Daupara, Mungeli, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Shri Somkant verma, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Pradeep Singh, Panel Lawyer, appears for the State/respondents no.1 & 2 on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER

(14.11.2007)

Sunil Kumar Sinha, J

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that that the name of respondent no.3 finds place at Sl.No.41 of the gradation list dated 03.10.1989 (Annexure P-1) and the name of the petitioner finds place at Sl.No.34 of the same list but respondents no.3 & 4 were promoted by order dated 11.10.2007 and the petitioner was not promoted . He submits that for this, a representation dated 18.10.2007 (Annexure P-4) has been filed before the respondent no.2 but the same has also not been disposed of so far. For the time being, he makes a limited prayer that this petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to dispose of the representation

dated 18.10.2007 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner in this regard.

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the learned State Counsel.

Prayer is allowed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction that if so advised the petitioner may file a fresh representation before respondent no.2 along-with a copy of this writ petition as also copy of this order within a period of 3 weeks from today and if such a representation is filed, respondent no.2 shall dispose of the same at the earliest, in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Rao